

Serial No. 10/753,297

II. AMENDMENT TO THE DRAWINGS

The following replacement sheets are attached. The replacement sheets are marked with "New Sheet" in the top margin in compliance with 37 CFR. 1.121(d).

- Sheet 1/17: item 300 of Fig. 2 is labeled "CP" instead of "CD".
- Sheet 3/17: "intelligent" is corrected to "intelligent" in items 322, 326, 330, 334, and 338 of Fig. 4.
- Sheet 9/17: "prictures" is corrected to "pictures" in Fig. 10.

No other changes have been made to the figures.

Serial No. 10/753,297

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAR 19 2007

IV. REMARKS

1. The examiner objected to figures 2, 3 and 10 for typographical errors. The applicant respectfully requests the examiner to enter the corrected drawing sheets as described on page 11 of this response.
2. The examiner objected to paragraph [0036] in the specification for failing to spell out abbreviations. The applicant respectfully requests the examiner to enter the amendment on page 12 of this response.
3. The examiner objected to claims 5, 9, 12, 26, 30, and 33 for informalities related to antecedent basis of the limitation of "the display option." Claims 5, 9, 12, 26, 30, and 33 have been amended to recite "a display option." The applicant respectfully requests the examiner to enter the amended claims 5, 9, 12, 26, 30, and 33 included with this response.
4. The examiner suggested amending the recitation of "the user configured format is a picture" in claims 18, 39, and 54 to "the user configured format pertains to a picture." The applicant respectfully requests the examiner to enter the amended claims 18, 39, and 54 included with this response adopting the examiner's suggestion.
5. The examiner suggested amending the recitation of "the user configured format is a text box" in claims 19, 40, and 55 to "the user configured format pertains to a text box." The applicant respectfully requests the examiner to enter the amended claims 19, 40, and 55 included with this response adopting the examiner's suggestion.
6. Claim rejections 35 U.S.C. §112. The examiner objected to the claims 20, 41 and 56 as failing to comply with the written description requirement under paragraph one and for failing to particularly point out and claim the subject matter which the inventor regards as the invention under paragraph two. Applicant has amended claims 20, 41 and 56 to recite "pertains to a user defined text message". Support for the amendment is found in specification paragraph [0052]. Applicant submits that the foregoing amendments meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112.

Serial No. 10/753,297

7. Claim rejections 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). The examiner rejected claims 1-5, 8-14, 16-26, 29-35, 37-43, 46-50, and 52-56 as being unpatentable over Fullerton et al. (US 2001/0033296 A1) in view of Dieberger et al. (US 2003/0122863 A1). Fullerton describes a computer program that reads transcript tracks and data tracks on a streaming data source file to generate a text outline. Fullerton discloses using a time stamp or other icon in the outline to indicate contextual location of the data in the outline with respect to a video track on the streaming data source file. Although Fullerton describes that the outline can be displayed in various locations on the screen, Fullerton does not disclose displaying the outline on a slide in the primary presentation window. Dieberger describes displaying a slide map containing contextual location within a slide presentation on the slide in the primary presentation window.

The invention disclosed by Fullerton uses multiple tracks from a single streaming data source file. (Fullerton at [0048] on page 4.) The outline created by Fullerton uses only the pre-defined outline information contained in a data track. (Fullerton at [0068]-[0069] on page 5 and at [0174] on page 8) Fullerton's program *extracts* an *existing* outline from a data track and displays the extracted outline simultaneously with the primary data stream (e.g. the movie). (Fullerton at [0014] on page 2.) The steps taken to do this are more fully explained in Fullerton at [0086]-[0110] on page 6. Fullerton does not disclose a program that reads the full text-based content of a presentation file to create an outline based on the full text-based portion of a presentation. Rather, Fullerton extracts outline data from a data stream separate from the presentation video picture. In other words, Fullerton does not extract the outline information from the presentation text itself.

The present invention, however, claims a program that reads the text of a slide presentation and creates an outline based on contents of the slide presentation (see [0039] on page 8) as well as other constraints defined by the user. Specifically, the present invention reads the titles from each slide and creates an outline using the titles. Applicant has imported the limitation of claim 2 into claim 1, and clarified the limitation to indicate that the outline is created from the content of each of the slides *in the presentation*. Similarly, claim 22 imports the similar limitation from claim 23. Claim 43 already recites this limitation except for the

Serial No. 10/753,297

clarification. Unlike Fullerton, the present invention creates a new outline from the content of the presentation, rather than extract an existing outline from a parallel data track included with a streaming video.

Applicant recognizes that Fullerton discloses slide tracks as part of the streaming data source file. The Slide tracks in Fullerton are a fixed-position video track with a very low frame rate. (Fullerton at [0278] on page 12.) These slide tracks are stored in various graphical formats rather than text. (*id.*) Fullerton does not disclose using text or images from slide tracks for creating an outline.

Applicant has amended claims 1, 22, and 23 by importing limitations from existing dependant claims and added clarification supported by the specification. Support for the amended element is found in specification paragraph [0039] and Fig. 4. Applicant respectfully requests that the examiner enter the amendments to claims 1, 22, and 43. Applicant submits that the foregoing amendment distinguishes over Fullerton et al. in view of Dieberger et al.

8. Claim rejections 35 USC 103(a). The examiner rejected claims 6, 27, and 44 as being unpatentable over Fullerton et al. in view of Dieberger et al. and further in view of Lee et al. (US 2003/0218639 A1). Because of the distinction between the present invention and Fullerton made above, applicant submits that the foregoing amendment distinguishes over Fullerton et al. in view of Dieberger et al. and further in view of Lee et al.

9. Claim rejections 35 USC 103(a). The examiner rejected claims 7, 28, and 45 as being unpatentable over Fullerton et al. in view of Dieberger et al. and further in view of Good et al. (US 2005/0138570 A1). Because of the distinction between the present invention and Fullerton made above, applicant submits that the foregoing amendment distinguishes over Fullerton et al. in view of Dieberger et al. and further in view of Good et al.

10. Claim rejections 35 USC 103(a). The examiner rejected claims 15, 36, and 51 as being unpatentable over Fullerton et al. in view of Dieberger et al. and further in view of Schott (US 5,619,631). Because of the distinction between the present invention and Fullerton made above,

Serial No. 10/753,297

applicant submits that the foregoing amendment distinguishes over Fullerton et al. in view of Dieberger et al. and further in view of Schott.

11. Applicant has amended dependant claim 2 and dependant claim 23 to recite the additional limitation "wherein the intelligent agenda program allows users to re-organize the outline." This limitation is supported in the specification at [0039] on page 8 and in Fig. 4. Applicant respectfully requests that the examiner enter the amendments to claims 2 and 23

Respectfully submitted,

Rudolf O. Siegesmund

Rudolf O. Siegesmund
Registration No. 37,720
Thomas E. Hilbun
Registration No. 56,546

Gordon & Rees LLP
Suite 2650
2100 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75201
214-231-4703
214-461-4053 (fax)
rsiegesmund@gordonrees.com
thilbun@gordonrees.com